

|   |                                      |                                     |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/747,871 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>DAVIS ET AL. |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Thu V. Huynh      | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2178             |

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) Thu V. Huynh.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jon Gibbons.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 February 2006**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 9, 18-26

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Verifying the evidences in exhibit for claim 9 and uuthorization for examiner amendment***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)